	Case 2:20-cv-02209-KJM-DB Documen	t 47 Filed 07/28/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JAMONT CALHOUN,	No. 2:20-cv-2209 KJM DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	DELA CRUZ, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action, has requested	
18	appointment of counsel.	
19	The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require	
20	counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490	
21	U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the district court may request the	
22	voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d	
23	1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).	
24	The test for exceptional circumstances requires the court to evaluate the plaintiff's	
25	likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in	
26	light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328,	
27	1331 (9th Cir. 1986); Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983). Circumstances	
28	common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not	
		1

## establish exceptional circumstances that would warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel. In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 46) is denied. Dated: July 27, 2022 DLB7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE calh2209.31

Case 2:20-cv-02209-KJM-DB Document 47 Filed 07/28/22 Page 2 of 2